

ANOTHER STATE ENTANGLEMENT MEASURE

Oscar A. Nagel¹, and Guido A. Raggio²

Given a state ω of the (minimal C^* -) tensor product $A \otimes B$ of unital C^* -algebras A and B , its marginals are the states of A and B defined by

$$\omega^A(a) = \omega(a \otimes \mathbf{1}_B), \quad a \in A, \quad \omega^B(b) = \omega(\mathbf{1}_A \otimes b), \quad b \in B.$$

Given a state ρ of A and a state ϕ of B , there is a unique state ω of $A \otimes B$ such that $\omega(a \otimes b) = \rho(a)\phi(b)$ for all $a \in A$ and all $b \in B$; we denote this state by $\rho \otimes \phi$. A **product-state** of $A \otimes B$ is a state ω of $A \otimes B$ such that $\omega = \omega^A \otimes \omega^B$. We write $S_\pi(A \otimes B)$ for the product-states of $A \otimes B$. The convex hull of S_π , written $co(S_\pi(A \otimes B))$, is the set of finite convex combinations of product states. The states of $A \otimes B$ in the norm-closure of $co(S_\pi(A \otimes B))$ are usually identified with the **separable** states of the composite system whose observables are described by $A \otimes B$; the states which are not separable are termed **entangled**.

For a state ω of a unital C^* -algebra A , consider its finite convex decompositions: $\omega = \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j \omega_j$, with $0 \leq \lambda_j \leq 1$, $\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j = 1$, and ω_j a state of A . Such a decomposition will be written $[\lambda_j, \omega_j]$ and $\mathcal{D}_\omega$ denotes all such finite convex decompositions.

Consider the relative entropy $(\rho, \phi) \rightarrow S(\rho, \phi)$ for pairs of states ρ and ϕ of a unital C^* -algebra. We use the original convention of Araki [1]³, which is also that used in [2] which we use as a standard reference for the properties of relative entropy. We propose the following measure of entanglement

$$(1) \quad E(\omega) = \inf_{[\lambda_j, \omega_j] \in \mathcal{D}_\omega} \sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j S(\omega_j, \omega_j^A \otimes \omega_j^B).$$

We say a map α from $A \otimes B$ into $C \otimes D$ **commutes with marginalization** if for every state ω of $C \otimes D$ one has $(\omega \circ \alpha)^A \otimes (\omega \circ \alpha)^B = (\omega^C \otimes \omega^D) \circ \alpha$.

We have the following result, whose proof will be provided in a forthcoming paper [3], along with result about a class of entanglement measures akin to (1):

1. $0 \leq E(\omega) \leq S(\omega, \omega^A \otimes \omega^B)$ with equality in the right-hand side inequality if ω is a pure state. $E(\omega) = 0$ if ω is a product-state.
2. $E(\cdot)$ is convex (and in general not affine).
3. If α and β are, respectively, $*$ -isomorphisms of A onto C and of B onto D (A, B, C and D are unital C^* -algebras) then $E(\omega \circ (\alpha \otimes \beta)) = E(\omega)$ for every state of $C \otimes D$.

¹Depto. de Física, Universidad Nacional del Sur, Bahía Blanca, Argentina. e-mail: nagel@uns.edu.ar

²FaMAF-Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Córdoba, Argentina. e-mail: raggio@famaf.unc.edu.ar

³If A is the algebra of bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space, then $S(\rho, \phi) = Tr(D_\rho(\log(D_\rho - \log(D_\phi))))$, for normal states, where D_ρ (resp. D_ϕ) is the density operator for which $\rho(a) = Tr(D_\rho a)$, $a \in A$.

4. If $\gamma : A \otimes B \rightarrow C \otimes D$ is a unital, linear, continuous, Schwarz-positive map ($\gamma(z^*z) \geq \gamma(z)^*\gamma(z)$ for every $z \in A \otimes B$) which commutes with marginalization, then $E(\omega \circ \gamma) \leq E(\omega)$ for every state ω of $C \otimes D$.
5. If ω is separable then $E(\omega) = 0$.
6. $E(\omega) = 0$ iff ω lies in the w^* -closure of $\text{co}(S_\pi(A \otimes B))$.
7. For n ($n \geq 1$) states $\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots, \omega_n$ of $A \otimes B$,

$$(2) \quad E((\omega_1 \otimes \omega_2 \otimes \dots \otimes \omega_n) \circ \zeta_n) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n E(\omega_j) ,$$

where ζ_n is the $*$ -isomorphism

$$(3) \quad \left\{ \underbrace{A \otimes A \otimes \dots \otimes A}_n \right\} \otimes \left\{ \underbrace{B \otimes B \otimes \dots \otimes B}_n \right\} \xrightarrow{\zeta_n} \underbrace{(A \otimes B) \otimes (A \otimes B) \otimes \dots \otimes (A \otimes B)}_n,$$

given by $\zeta_n((a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) \otimes (b_1 \otimes b_2 \otimes \dots \otimes b_n)) = (a_1 \otimes b_1) \otimes (a_2 \otimes b_2) \otimes \dots \otimes (a_n \otimes b_n)$.

One has,

$$(4) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-1} E((\omega \otimes \omega \otimes \dots \otimes \omega) \circ \zeta_n) \leq E(\omega) .$$

In both (2) and (4), the left-hand side is computed with respect to marginalization with respect to the two factors in $\{ \}$ -brackets in (3).

8. If A or B is abelian then $E \equiv 0$.
9. Let $\mathcal{M}_\omega$ be the (Radon)-measures on the state space with barycenter ω , then

$$E(\omega) = \inf_{\{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_\omega\}} \int \mu(d\phi) S(\phi, \phi^A \otimes \phi^B) ,$$

and there exists $\mu_o \in \mathcal{M}_\omega$ such that

$$E(\omega) = \int \mu_o(d\phi) S(\phi, \phi^A \otimes \phi^B) .$$

—

The crucial condition of “commutation with marginalization” involved in property 4. of E is met by the “LQCC” maps considered in [4]. “LQCC” means “local quantum operations” with “classical communication”, and these are the relevant maps in the games that Alice and Bob play.

Like most known entanglement measures (see e.g., [4,5]), except that devised by Vidal and Werner [6], the calculation of E involves an infimum over a rather unmanageable set. Using Kosaki’s variational expression ([7]) for the relative entropy, one obtains a lower bound on E which can be possibly used to devise a strategy to show that $E(\omega) > 0$ for a specific state ω .

One can replace the relative entropy in the definition of E by other, suitable functions, e.g. $\|\phi - \phi^A \otimes \phi^B\|$, without losing the basic properties of E ; this is studied in [3].

In a previous version of this announcement [8], we claimed that E was additive, that is, equality holds in (2). We withdraw this claim because we have found a mistake in our “proof”.

REFERENCES

1. H. Araki: Relative entropy for states of von Neumann algebras I [& II]. Publ. RIMS Kyoto Univ. 11, 809-833 (1976); [13: 173-192 (1977)].
2. M. Ohya, and D. Petz: Quantum Entropy and Its Use. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg 1993.
3. O.A. Nagel, and G.A. Raggio: A family of state entanglement measures. Work in progress.
4. M.J. Donald, M. Horodecki, and O. Rudolph: The uniqueness theorem for entanglement measures. J. Math. Phys. 43, 4252-4272 (2002).
5. V. Vedral: The role of relative entropy in quantum information theory. Rev. Mod. Phys. 74, 197-234 (2002).
6. G. Vidal, and R.F. Werner: A computable measure of entanglement. Phys. Rev. A 65, 032314 (2002).
7. H. Kosaki: Relative entropy for states: a variational expression. J. Operator Th. 16, 335-348 (1986).
8. O.A. Nagel and G.A. Raggio: Another state entanglement measure. quant-ph/0306024 v2.